

**City of Minneapolis, CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form – Single Family,  
Scattered Site**

**Section I. Property Information**

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I., ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING  
PROPERTY PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS

Submitted by: Roxanne Young Kimball, Phone #: x2794

Form Initiated Date: 3/24/2015

1. Address: 3522 Aldrich Ave N, Property Identification Number (PIN): 0902924110129
2. Lot Size: 40' x 126.6' Square Footage 4976
3. Current Use: Single Family. Current Zoning: R1A
4. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single family home
5. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: none
6. Project Coordinator comments: This vacant building was acquired by the City of Minneapolis from Hennepin County through the tax forfeiture sales process. It is a 3 Bedroom 1 Bath single family home constructed in 1924, with 1,200 finished square feet. It was registered as a vacant building in August 2009. Staff intention is to market the property for sale for its appraised value and require that code items are addressed prior to occupancy.

**Section II. Zoning Review**

7. Lot is: Buildable for **any** structure  Non-Buildable for **any** structure   
Explain: \_\_\_\_\_
  8. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the **proposed** future use noted in item 4?  
Yes  No  If yes, what applications? \_\_\_\_\_
  9. Comments: \_\_\_\_\_
- Completed by: CAV Date: 05/14/15

**Section III. Community Planning Review**

10. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
11. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.
12. Is future land use proposed in item 4 consistent with future land use plans?  
Yes  No  If no, why not? \_\_\_\_\_
13. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?  
Yes  No  If yes, explain possible development scenarios \_\_\_\_\_
14. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?  
Yes  No  If Yes, what type of development? \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Rehabilitation of a single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive plan.

Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 5/14/2015

Manager, Community Planning, Public Art and Research, by: Jack Byers Date: 5/15/2015

**Section IV. Decision to Market**

**PROCEED** to market the property as proposed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with *The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth*

**City of Minneapolis, CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form – Single Family,  
Scattered Site**

**HOLD** this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for **HOLD** status): \_\_\_\_\_

|  |
|--|
| Manager, R-RED by: <u>Elfric Porte</u> Date: <u>05/19/2015</u> |
|--|