

ATTACHMENT A

For Local Governments Requesting a 2008 Capital Appropriation, Please Provide Answers to all of the Following Questions (for each request) in a Letter or Memorandum to the Minnesota Department of Finance

- 1) Name of the local government or political subdivision that is submitting the request: *City of Minneapolis*
- 2) Project title: *Grand Rounds Scenic Byway Lighting Renovation*
- 3) Project priority number (if the applicant is submitting multiple requests):
- 4) Project location (please list county or counties, and town(s) or city(ies): *Grand Rounds Scenic Byway in Minneapolis*
- 5) Is this a subsequent phase of a project that received state funding in previous years? If yes, please explain: *The project has not been funded by the state in previous years*
- 6) Total project cost for all funding sources – all years – for all capital costs (in thousands of dollars): *\$15,000,000*

Total Project Costs (all funding sources)			
For Prior Years	For 2008	For 2010	For 2012
\$1,720,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000

- 7) Amount of state funds requested (in thousands of dollars):

State funds requested for 2008	For Subsequent Project Phases:	
	State funds to be requested in 2010	State funds to be requested in 2012
\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000

- 8) Non-state funds available or to be contributed to the project (list the dollar amount and sources – federal, city, private, or other – for all years): *City of Minneapolis has expended \$1,720,000 of local funds from 2005 to 2007 on the project. City future funding will, at least, match non-city funding.*
- 9) Project description and rationale (limit to one page maximum). *The request is for \$2.0 million for each of the next three bonding years to replace deteriorated poles, fixtures, and electrical wiring associated with the lighting systems in place along Grand Rounds Scenic Byway located throughout the City. The project will replace approximately 2300 poles and fixtures that were installed approximately 30 years ago.*

Much of the system is old and needs to be replaced or is in a state of disrepair. A majority of the lighting units utilize mercury vapor luminaires, which are approaching the end of their service life. These units will either need to be retrofitted or replaced since State Statutes (Section 216C.19 subd. 1) prohibits doing anything other than minor repair or removal of lighting units utilizing mercury vapor luminaires. It is anticipated that it will take \$15,000,000 (2007 dollars) in capital expenditure to replace the entire system of 2300 Park Board lighting units and associated underground cabling on the 50+ miles of the Grand Rounds. The City has completed the replacement of approximately 10 miles of lights.

The project will replace a deteriorated lighting system that poses safety concerns with the frequency of light outages and equipment that has surpassed service life. The new lights are functionally more efficient with light output that provides greater visibility. This efficient lighting also provides a unique look on the parkways that enhances the features of the parkway system as well as respecting the science and the practice of roadway lighting. The new lighting will provide energy efficiency and be aesthetically attractive.

10) Identify who will own the facility. Identify who will operate the facility. *The City of Minneapolis will own and operate the lighting system.*

11) Identify total project costs (in thousands of dollars) for each of the following categories: land acquisition, predesign, design, construction, furniture/fixtures/equipment, and relocation costs.

	2008	2010	2012
Land acquisition	-0-	-0-	-0-
Predesign	\$750,000	-0-	-0-
Design (including construction administration)			
Project Management			
Construction	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000
Furniture/Fixtures/Equipment			
Relocation			

12) For new construction projects, identify the new square footage planned: *n/a*

13) For remodeling, renovation or expansion projects, identify the total square footage of current facilities and new square footage planned: *n/a*

14) Project schedule. Identify the date (month/year) when construction crews are expected to first arrive on site, and the date (month/year) when construction will be completed with a certificate of occupancy. *This is an ongoing project. The project can be accomplished in phases with the next phase beginning in 2008, and being completed by 2112.*

(Please note: for facilities projects, this information will also be used to calculate an inflation cost, using the Building Projects Inflation Schedule that is posted on the Department of Finance website. Please indicate if instead you have already included an escalation factor in your cost information under Item 6.)

- 15) For projects with a total construction cost of at least \$1.5 million, has a project predesign been submitted to the Commissioner of Administration?¹ *The project has not been submitted to the Commissioner of Administration for predesign review.*
- 16) Identify any new or additional state operating dollars that will be requested for this project. (Specify the amount and year, if applicable). *No new or additional operating funding will be requested from the state.*
- 17) Discuss how the project meets or exceeds the sustainable building guidelines established under Minnesota Statutes, section 16B.35 (*Included in Attachment B*). NA
- 18) Explain the extent to which the project will use sustainable building designs, if applicable.
n/a
- 19) Attach a resolution of support from the governing body of the applicant (with the project priority number if submitting multiple requests).
- 20) Project contact person, title, and contact information:

*Mr. Steven Mosing
Traffic Parking Services Division
Minneapolis Public Works Department
300 Border Ave N, Minneapolis MN 55405
phone (612) 673-5746
email: steve.mosing@ci.minneapolis.mn.us.*

ATTACHMENT B: Relevant Statutory Provisions

1. Project Evaluation Criteria (Excerpted from Minnesota Statutes 16A.86, subdivisions 3 and 4)

The commissioner shall evaluate all requests from political subdivisions for state assistance based on the following criteria:

- 1) *The political subdivision has provided for local, private, and user financing for the project to the maximum extent possible;*
- 2) *The project helps fulfill an important state mission;*
- 3) *The project is of regional or statewide significance;*
- 4) *The project will not require new or any additional state operating subsidies;*

¹ For a copy of the Predesign Manual, please visit the State Architect's Office web site (www.sao.admin.state.mn.us/ and follow the link in the top menu bar for *Designer Procedures Manual*)

- 5) *The project will not expand the state's role in a new policy area;*
- 6) *State funding for the project will not create significant inequities among local jurisdictions;*
- 7) *The project will not compete with other facilities in such a manner that they lose a significant number of users to the new project;*
- 8) *The governing bodies of those political subdivisions primarily benefiting from the project have passed resolutions in support of the project and have established priorities for all projects within their jurisdictions for which bonding appropriations are requested when submitting multiple requests; and*
- 9) *If a [required] predesign ... has been completed and is available at the time the project request is submitted to the commissioner of finance, the applicant has submitted the project predesign to the commissioner of administration.*

The state share of a project ... must be no more than half the total cost of the project, including predesign, design, construction, furnishings, and equipment ... (except for local school projects or disaster recovery projects, or if the project is located in a political subdivision with a very low average net tax capacity).

2. Sustainable Building Guidelines (Excerpted from Minnesota Statutes 16B.325)

The primary objectives of these guidelines are to ensure that all new state buildings initially exceed existing energy code, as established in Minnesota Rules, chapter 7676, by at least 30 percent.

The guidelines must focus on achieving the lowest possible lifetime cost for new buildings and allow for changes in the guidelines that encourage continual energy conservation improvements in new buildings.

The design guidelines must establish sustainability guidelines that:
include air quality and lighting standards and that create and maintain a healthy environment and facilitate productivity improvements;
specify ways to reduce material costs; and
must consider the long-term operating costs of the building, including the use of renewable energy sources and distributed electric energy generation that uses a renewable source or natural gas or a fuel that is as clean or cleaner than natural gas.

